BROWN RAYSMAN MILLSTEIN FELDER & STEINER.

# FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

From:

1.

**Direct Dial:** 

Ivan Poscy (310) 712-8311

Date:

November 19, 2004

Client/Matter #:

10665/2

#### PLEASE DELIVER AS SOON AS POSSIBLE TO:

Recipient

Company

Fax No.

Phone No.

Tien Dinh, Art Unit

USPTO

(703) 872-9326

(703) 308-2798

3644

Total number of pages including this page: 5/
If you do not receive all the pages, please call (310) 712-8300.

#### Message:

#### Please see the attached:

- 1. Transmittal Form (PTO/SB/21);
- Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8;
- 3. Amendment and Response to Office Action dated 08/19/2004

Please Note: the information contained in this facsimile message is privileged and confidential, and is intended only for use of the individual named above and others who have been specifically authorized to receive it. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, or if any problems occur with transmission, please notify sender or the mail room by telephone: (310) 712-8300. Thank You.

## BROWN RAYSMAN MILLSTEIN FELDER & STEINER LLP

P1 C/SB/21 (09-04)
Approved for use through 01/51/2006, 0MB 0551-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademerk Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT 'DF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Roduction Act of 1685, no persons are required to respond to a collection of Information unless it displays a vitid ONB control number,

TRANSMITTAL FORM			Application Number		10/633,3	10/633,347	
			Filing Date		08/04/2003		
			First Named Inventor		Jerry D.	Jerry D. Lows	
			Art Unit	Art Unit		3644	
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)			Examiner Name		Tien Din	Tien Dinh	
Total Number of Pages In T		Attorney Docket Number		10665/2			
ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)							
Fee Transmittal Form		1 —	☐ Drawing(s)		After Allowance Communication to TC		
Fee Attached		Licensing-related Papers		Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences			
Amendment / Reply		Petition		Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)			
After Final		Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application			! —	Proprietary Information	
Affidavits/declaration(s)		Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address			☐ Status	Status Letter	
Extension of TIme Request		Terminal Disclaimer			Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):		
Express Abandonment Request		Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s)			Certificate	Certificate of Fax Transmissio Facsimile Cover Sheet	
information Disclosure Statement		Landscape Table on CD					
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)		Remarks					
Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application							
Reply to Missing Parts						·	
under 37 CFR1.52 or 1,53						·	
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT							
Firm		Brown Raysman Millstein Felder & Steiner LLP					
Signature							
Printed Name		Man Posey					
Date		11/19/2004 Reg. No.		43,865			
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING							
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.							
Signature							
Typed or printed name	Ivan Posey				Date	11/19/2004	

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and bit the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentially is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. There will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be set to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOY SEND FEES OR COMPLETTO FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, cell 1-800-PTQ-9199 and select option 2.

# Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office

on 11/19/2004. Date

ignature

Ivan Posey

Typed or printed name of person signing Certificate

43,865

(310) 712-8311

Registration Number if applicable

Telephone Number

Note: Each paper must have its own certificate of transmission, or this certificate must identify each submitted paper.

Transmittal Form (PTO/SB/21); Amendment and Response to Office Action dated 08/19/2004 **Facsimile Cover Sheet** 

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.8. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to ille (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 1.8 minutes to complete, including gethering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Palent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS, SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

# RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

#### NOV 1 9 2004

PATENT ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 10665/2

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Lowe et al.

Serial No.:

10/633.347

Examiner: Tien Dinh

Filed:

August 4, 2003

Group Art Unit: 3644

Title:

FLYING CAMERA AND SENSOR MECHANIZED LIFT

PLATFORM

Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

# **AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION**

Sir:

This is responsive to the Final Office action of August 19, 2004. The Applicants would like to thank the examiner for the courtesy extended to their Counsel in a telephone conference held with the Examiner on August 26, 2004.

#### **CONTENTS**

An Authorization to Debit Account begins on page 2 of this paper.

An Examiner Interview Summery begins on page 3 of this paper.

Amendments To The Claims begin on page 5 of this paper.

Remarks begin on page 7 of this paper.

A Conclusion begins on page 15 of this paper.

An <u>Appendix A</u> including a mark up version of the substitute Specification and amended drawing sheets of Figures 1-3 is attached following page 15 of this paper.

An <u>Appendix B</u> including the originally filed version of the Specification and original drawing sheets of Figures 1-3 is attached following Appendix A of this paper.

Atty Docket No.: 10665/2 Serial No. 10/633,347

### **AUTHORIZATION TO DEBIT ACCOUNT**

It is not believed that any extensions of time or fees for net addition of claims are required. However, in the event that any extensions of time are necessary to allow consideration of this paper, such extensions are hereby petitioned under 37 C.F.R. §1.136(a), and any fees required therefore (including fees for net addition of claims) are hereby authorized to be charged to Brown Raysman's Deposit Account Number 502811.

#### **INTERVIEW SUMMARY**

The Applicants would like to thank the examiner for the courtesy extended to their Counsel in a telephone conference held with the Examiner on August 26, 2004. Applicants' Counsel and the Examiner discussed the Examiner's position that the amendments made to the Specification allegedly constitute new matter under 35 U.S.C. § 132. Applicants' Counsel stated that any changes to the Specification are supported by the originally filed Specification.

Applicants' Counsel stated that, to the extent that there is some language that is not exactly stated in the Application, as originally filed, in the determination of what constitutes new matter, M.P.E.P. § 2163.07(a) needs to be taken into account. Namely, if there is anything added that was not specifically stated in the original specification, if the additional text describes an "inherent function, theory, or advantage" regarding the originally filed specification, then the additional text does not constitute new matter. The Examiner agreed that an explanation of how the changes were supported by the original specification would be helpful in the response to the Final Office Action.

Next, Applicants' Counsel and the Examiner discussed the 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶1 rejection. The Examiner took the position that he could not tell how the drive mechanism worked. Applicants' Counsel stated that the Examiner's own 35 U.S.C. § 103 rejection states that the list of mechanisms provided by the Applicants are "obvious," which would indicate that one skilled in the art would know how those listed mechanisms could be employed to provide the linear motion of the lift platform.

Finally, Applicants' Counsel addressed the 35 U.S.C. § 103 rejection. Applicants'

Counsel stated that the crux of the invention is not the drive mechanism, but the combination defined in the claims to produce a more rigid structure than that described in the Sibley reference

Atty Docket No.: 10665/2

Serial No. 10/633,347

cited by the Final Office Action. The linear guides of the invention are not shown or described

by Sibley. The Examiner stated that a clarification in the claims of how the mechanism moves

along the linear guides as shown in the drawings may be helpful to define over Sibley in that

respect.

Accordingly, Applicants submit that all of the objections and rejections have been

addressed in this Amendment and Response. Specifically, the Applicants have followed the

Examiner's instructions to clarify the support for their amendments to the Specification and the

claims, and the Applicants have amended the claims to more clearly define the invention over

Sibley by amending independent claims 15, and 27 (in substance), to contain language that reads

"a linear guide that is connected to the mounting structure, wherein the linear guide is engaged

by the platform and comprises a linear structure disposed in parallel to a linear movement path of

the platform to stabilize and direct linear movement of the platform."